# Fusarium و Meloidogyne javanica و Meloidogyne javanica و Fusarium و Fusarium و oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum روى برخى ارقام خيار تحت شرايط گلخانه\*

مهدی محمدیان سرچشمه'، سعید رضائی ۲\*\*، ابراهیم شکوهی ۳ و علیرضا ایرانبخش

(تاریخ دریافت: ۱۳۹۷/۶/۲۷؛ تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۳۹۹/۷/۳)

# چکیدہ

تعامل قارچ عامل بیماری پوسیدگی ریشه و ساقه خیار Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum و نمات عار خصیب، نگین و دستجردی در آزمایشی به صورت طرح کاملا تصادفی در قالب فاکتوریل با ۱۶ تیمار در چهار شامل شامد، مایهزنی قارچ به تنهایی، نماتد به تنهایی در چهار سطح ۱۵۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۵۰۰۰ و ۲۰۰۰ لارو نمات در هرزار گرم چهار تکرار شامل شامد، مایهزنی قارچ به تنهایی، نماتد به تنهایی در چهار سطح ۱۵۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۵۰۰۰ و ۲۰۰۰ لارو نمات در هرزار گرم خاک و مایه زنی قارچ به دو صورت همزمان و یک هفته بعد از مایهزنی با نماتد در شرایط گلخانه بررسی گردید. در مایهزنی همزمان قارچ خاک و مایه زنی قارچ به دو صورت همزمان و یک هفته بعد از مایهزنی با نماتد در شرایط گلخانه بررسی گردید. در مایهزنی همزمان قارچ و نماتد با سطح جمعیتی ۲۳/۰۷ درصد کاهش یافت. نتایج نشان داد که بیشترین میانگین تعداد تخم، تعداد کیسه تخم در گرم ریشه و شاخص گال به ترتیب ۲۱۵۵/۳۰، ۲۱۵۰۰ درصد کاهش یافت. نتایج نشان داد که بیشترین میانگین تعداد تخم، تعداد کیسه تخم در گرم ریشه و شاخص گال به ترتیب ۲۱۵۵/۴۰، ۲۱۵۵۰ مربوط به مایهزنی گیاه با ۲۰۰۰ لارو به تنهایی بود. حضور نماتد (۲۰۰۰ لارو) باعث افزایش ۱۸/۲۰ درصدی شاخص پژمردگی نسبت به مایهزنی با مایزنی گیاه با ۲۰۰۰ لارو به تنهایی بود. حضور نماتد (۲۰۰۰ لارو) باعث افزایش ۲۰/۱۸ درصدی شاخص پژمردگی نسبت به مایهزنی با مایزنی گارچ به تنهایی شد. همچنین به طور میانگین در ارقام خیار در تیمار مایهزنی قارچ بعد از نماتد شاخص پژمردگی نسبت به مایهزنی با مراز مای ترین این تیمار موجب کاهش ۲۷/۳۲ درصدی وزن مایمزمان ۳۰/۳۰ درصد در سطح جمعیتی شش لارو در گرم خاک افزایش نشان داد، همچنین این تیمار موجب کاهش ۲۷/۲۲ درصدی وزن تر شاخساره گیاه و افزایش ۲۰/۳ برای شاخص پژمردگی ارقام خیار نسبت به شاهد شد. نتایج نشان داد که حضور نماتد و قارچ یک عامل مایمزمان در تماره میایز در تر ماره می در در محمور نماتد و قارچ یک عامل مرزمان ۳۰/۳ در مد در می می در در و می نگین کاملا مشخص بود.

كليدواژه: خيار، قارچ، تعامل، متغير نماتد، پارامترهاي رشد گياه

\* این مقاله مستخرج از نتایج بخشی از رسالهٔ دکتری نگارنده اول، ارائه شده به گروه گیاهپزشکی واحد علوم و تحقیقات دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی میباشد. \*\* مسئول مکاتبات، پست الکترونیکی: srezaee@srbiau.ac.ir

۱. دانشجوی دکتری بیماریشناسی گیاهی، گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده علوم کشاورزی و صنایع غذایی، واحـد علـوم و تحقیقـات، دانشـگاه آزاد اسلامی، تهران، ایران.

۲. استادیار بیماریشناسی گیاه، گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکدهٔ علوم کشاورزی و صنایع غذایی، واحد علوم و تحقیقات، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، تهران، ایران.

۳. آزمایشگاه نماتدشناسی، گروه گیاهپزشکی، مرکز تحقیقات عالی فناوریهای سبز، دانشگاه لیمپوپو، لیمپوپو، آفریقای جنوبی.

۴. استاد گروه زیستشناسی، دانشکدهٔ علوم پایه، واحد علوم و تحقیقات، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، تهران، ایران.

# Investigation on the interaction between the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne javanica and Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radiciscucumerinum on some cucumber cultivars under greenhouse condition

M. Mohamadian Sarcheshmeh<sup>1</sup>, S. Rezaee<sup>1\*</sup>, E. Shokoohi<sup>2</sup>, and A. Iranbakhsh<sup>3</sup>

(Received: 18.8.2018; Accepted: 24.9.2020)

# Abstract

In order to study the interaction of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum and Meloidogyne javanica a survey on three cucumber cultivars was conducted in the greenhouse condition. The experiments were laid out in a factorial experiment based on completely randomized design (CRD) within 14 treatments including control, fungi alone, nematode alone in four inoculations level viz. 1500, 3000, 4500 and 6000 J2s, fungus + nematode simultaneously, fungus a week after nematode inoculation with 4 replications. Simultaneous fungus and nematode (6000 J2s) inoculation resulted in a %23.07 reduction in nematode gall index. The results showed that the highest mean egg number and number of egg sac per gram of root and gall index in the three cucumber cultivars were 3155.2, 15.30 and 4.33, respectively, for inoculation of the plant with 6000 nematode juvenile. The presence of nematodes (6000 J2s) increased the wilting index by 67.81% compared to fungus inoculation alone, and also, in fungal inoculation treatments, after the nematode inoculation (6000 J2s), the wilting index increased by 35.03% compared to the simultaneous inoculation. The results indicated that decreasing of 27.23% fresh shoot mass and the increasing of 3.5-fold wilting index of the cucumber cultivars to control caused by M. javanica at 6000 J2  $\rightarrow$  F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum (one week later of inoculation) after 45 days. In conclusion, the results indicated that simultaneous infection of M. javanica and F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum have synergistic effect on disease which was quite clear in Negin cultivar.

Keywords: cucumber, fungus, interaction, nematode variable, plant growth parameters

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author, Email: srezaee@srbiau.ac.ir

<sup>1.</sup> Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Food Industries, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>2.</sup> Nematology Laboratory, Department of Plant Protection, University of Limpopo, Green Biotechnologies Research Centre of Excellence, Limpopo, South Africa.

<sup>3.</sup> Department of Biology, Faculty of Basic Sciences, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) is the main greenhouse crop, especially in Yazd Province of Iran. Root and stem rot fungus (Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum) and the root-knot nematodes Meloidogyne javanica (Treub 1885) Chitwood, 1949 are the most destructive pathogens that threatening glasshouse cucumber cultivation in Iran (Moosavi et al. 2006, Shahriari et al. 2011). The occurrence and severity of the resulting diseases have increased substantially over the last five years and are causing yield-limiting factors in greenhouse cucumber production (Mohamadian-Sarcheshmeh & Ahmadi 2014). Crops infected with root-knot nematodes are usually subjected to various nematodes-induced modifications. These can vary from localized forms of tissue damage to overall systemic effects such as retarded plant growth. Furthermore, these changes can influence infection rates of other soil-borne pathogens (Back et al. 2002). There are a number of reports, which clearly illustrate that nematode damage plays a role in the establishment and development of diseases caused by soil-borne pathogens (Gheysen & Mitchum 2011, Saeedizadeh et al. 2008, Sahebani et al. 2008, Shokoohi et al. 2003). Interactions between root-knot nematode and Fusarium wilt have been studied and documented in different crops, including alfalfa (Griffin & Thyr 1988), bananas (Jonathan & Rajendran 1998), beans (Faraji et al. 2007, France & Abawi 1994), carnations (Schindler et al. 1961), chickpea (Khan & Hosseini-Nejad 1991, Kumar et al. 1988), coffee (Bertrand et al. 2000), cotton (Davis et al. 1996, Imani et al. 2014), green bean (Imani et al. 2014), lentil (De et al. 2001), melon (Shokoohi et al. 2003), olive (Saeedizadeh et al. 2008), pea (Maheswari et al. 1997), tobacco (Porter & Powell 1967) and tomato (Abawi & Barker 1984, Sahebani et al. 2008). In all these interactions, root-knot nematode and Fusarium spp. increased fungus pathogenicity. Furthermore, nematode density and time of fungus inoculation influenced plant growth and nematode indices. In this study, the interaction between rootknot nematode and F. oxysporum f. sp. radiciscucumerinum was investigated on some cultivars of cucumber in Yazd Province, Iran. The aims of this study include achieving greater recognition of cucumber pathogens, acquiring information on these interactions, investigating the effects of single vs. Simultaneous infection by the studied pathogens and providing insight into the control of these pathogens.

# Materials and methods

# Nematode inoculum preparation

Meloidogyne javanica was isolated from infected roots collected from different cucumber cultivars viz. Khasib, Negin, and Dastjerdi in cities of Yazd, Taft, Meybod, Sadoogh (Yazd Province), Iran. The nematode isolates and perineal patterns were prepared (Taylor & Netscher 1974) and identified as *M. javanica* according to morphological and morphometric characteristics (Eisenback 1985). The isolated population of Negin cultivar was used in the experiment. The single egg mass method was used to rear nematode population on Rutgers tomato cultivar after which root-knot nematode was further mass produced in a greenhouse at 23-28°C (Moosavi et al. 2006). Nematode eggs were extracted from galled roots (Hussey & Barker 1973). The roots were washed with tap water and cut into two to three centimeters pieces, then the roots were shaken in a bottle containing 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) for five minutes. Extracted eggs were rinsed with tap water in order to remove the NaClO residue (Hussey & Barker 1973). Furthermore, the number of J2s was counted and the mean value (of three replicates) calculated per 10 ml sterile water. Depending on the treatment, inoculum was 1500, 3000, 4500 and 6000 J2s in 10 ml sterile water for each pot.

# Fungal isolates

Samples of infected roots of greenhouse cucumbers were cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium and incubated at 25°C for five days. Fifteen isolates of fungus were obtained from infected roots of mature cucumbers that showed symptoms of the root and stem rot and/or *Fusarium* wilt symptoms, in different greenhouses in Yazd Province, Iran. Eight of these isolates were identified by pathogenicity tests and valid key as *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis- cucumerinum* (Lesli *et al.* 2006, Nelson *et al.* 1993, Vakalounakis *et al.* 2005. Then they were maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA) at 6°C. The inoculum was then grown on potato dextrose broth (PDB) (Vakalounakis 2005) using 200 ml Erlenmeyer flask in a rotatory shaker for seven days at 20°C with no direct exposure to sunlight. The pathogenicity of each isolate was tested on seedlings of different cucumber cultivars at the third leaf stage using the root dipping technique (Vakalounakis et al. 2005). The pathogenicity of each isolate was tested on seedlings of the four differential cucurbit species: C. melo (melon, cv. Moulkeiko), C. sativus (cucumber, cv. Knossos), L. aegyptiaca (sponge gourd) and Cucurbita maxima  $\times C$ . moschata (pumpkin) at the two-true-leaf stage using the root dipping technique (Vakalounakis, 1996). Final observation on disease development was made about 30 days after inoculation. To confirm infection of cucurbit plants by F. oxysporum, isolations were made from plants with or without symptoms. Pathogenicity tests were conducted in a complete randomized design. For each isolate and differential host combination, eight replicate plants were used. Tests were carried out at least twice. Disease severity was assessed with a 0-3 rating visual scale, as follows: 0, no symptoms; 1, light or moderate rot on taproot, secondary roots and crown, light vascular discoloration in the stem; 2, severe rot on taproot, secondary roots and crown, with or without wilting and stunting, vascular discoloration in the stem; and 3, dead seedlings. Within each forma specialis and differential host, disease indices were compared using the least significant difference test ( $P \le 0.05$ )

### Greenhouse experiments

Three prevailing cultivars of cucumber (Khasib, Negin and Dastjerdi) were subjected to experimental studies. The cucumber seeds were surface sterilised with 1.2% NaOCl for five minutes after which six seeds were sown in an eight inches deep pot containing 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> sterilized soil. The soil consisted of a mixture of greenhouse soil (30% silty clay and 70% organic matter) and sand (1:1 v/v) (Shokoohi *et al.* 2003). Plants at the third leaf stage were removed from the soil and the roots rinsed with Distilled water and then dipped for 20 min in a microconidial suspension of fungus containing approximately 10<sup>6</sup> conidia/ml, cultured in potato dextrose broth (PDB) for seven days at 28°C, under continuous light. Seedlings were inoculated with an inoculum of both pathogens including second stage juveniles of the nematode (J2s), or microconidial suspension of fungus as

well as distilled water as control (Oka et al. 1999). Each plant was inoculated at the third leaf stage with nematode using the technique developed by Hussey and Barker method (Hussey & Barker 1973). For the experiment, each pot was infested with five nematode inoculum levels (zero, 1500, 3000, 4500 and 6000 J2s) by distributing inoculum within two dibble holes (3-cm-deep) at the base of each plant and then covering with soil. For inoculation of fungi and nematodes simultaneously, initially, inoculation with nematode was carried out and then with the fungus according to the methods are described. Experiments were carried out in a greenhouse with air temperature ranging from 23°C to 28°C. The experimental setup was based on a factorial completely randomized designed with a total of 14 treatments and 4 replications. This experiment comprises 14 treatments including control, fungi alone, nematode alone in four inoculations level viz. 1500, 3000, 4500 and 6000 J2s, fungus + nematode simultaneously, fungus a week after nematode inoculation with 4 replications. Both plant growth and nematode indices were evaluated after harvesting the plants. Plant growth indices included fresh and dry shoot weight and root weight and length 45 days after fungus inoculation. Furthermore, the shoot length was measured and the wilting index was scored after 10, 20, 30 and 45 days of inoculation on 1-6 scale (Marley & hilock 1996) where: 1 = no visible symptom; 2 =epinasty and chlorosis/wilting of primary leaves; 3 = chlorosis/wilting of  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  leaves may be lost, primary leaves may be lost; 4 = chlorosis above 3<sup>rd</sup> leaves, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> leaves may be lost; chlorosis/wilting of whole plant and 6 = plantcompletely desiccated. Nematode related indices included the gall index, number of egg mass, number of egg in one gram of root, as well as the reproduction factor (Rf) (Walters et al. 1999) (Hussey & Barker 1973). The gall index was on a scale of 0 to 5 (Taylor & Sasser 1978), where 0= roots without egg mass and/or gall; 1 = roots with 1-2egg masses and/or galls; 2= roots with 3-10 egg masses and/or galls; 3= roots with 11-30 egg masses and/or galls; 4= roots with 31-100 egg masses and/or galls; and 5= roots with more than 100 egg masses and/or galls.

## Statistical analysis

Data generated from the measured variables were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA)

and means were compared with Duncan's multiple range tests using IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS<sup>®</sup> Statistics software version 22 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0., Armonk, NY, USA). The experiment was conducted in the greenhouse and repeated once. The independent samples t-Test compared the means of two independent groups (two experiments) in order to determine whether there was statistical evidence that the associated population means were significantly different. The results of the independent-sample t-Test showed that two experiments have not shown significant differences at  $p \le 0.05$ . T-test for equality of means and Levene's test for equality of variances was done.

## Results

### Plant Growth parameters

The results of independent-sample T-test showed that two groups (two trials) have not shown significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ). The interaction between the cucumber cultivars (Khasib, Negin and Dastjerdi), M. javanica and F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum was evaluated either individually or in combination. Results showed that all of the treatments resulted in significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ) compared to the control (untreated) plants. The shoot length at 10, 20, 30 and 45 days after fungus inoculation (Table 1) showed a significant difference compared with the untreated plants ( $p \le 0.05$ ). With increasing the nematode initial population, growth indices of plants decreased compared with the control. The lowest index of plant growth was related to the presence of the fungus and 6000 juveniles treatment. Fungal inoculation alone and without inoculation with nematode led to decreased plant growth indices compared with the control. In presence of the nematode, a significant reduction of shoot length, fresh and dry weight of cucumber cultivars was caused by application of the fungus after nematode treatment. The interaction between the nematode and fungus was more evident when applied in combination. However, the Negin cultivar showed significant reduction in the root and shoot length (P  $\leq$  0.05) compared with the control. This plant height reduction expressed by a delayed growth reflected the presence of a synergistic interaction within this complex. The shoot dry and fresh weight of cucumber cultivars (Table 1) also

showed a significant interaction ( $P \le 0.05$ ) between the nematode and fungus. This interaction was most evident in the Negin cultivar where a significant reduction of shoot fresh weight, of about 32.2% was recorded compared to the noninoculated plants of about 9.45% without nematode infestation. However, for the rest of initial population of the root-knot nematode and fungal inoculations, these parameters showed significant reduction in growth parameters (Table 1).

### Nematode growth indices

A significant interaction (P  $\leq 0.05$ ) was observed when both pathogens were present. All cucumber cultivars infested with *M. javanica* showed gall development on the roots. The loweest gall index as observed on plants inoculated by a mixture of F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum and *M. javanica* indicated the presence of an interaction within this parasitic complex. It was also revealed that cucumber cultivars inoculated only with root-knot nematode had a significantly higher galling index than those of the non-inoculated control plants and inoculated plants with fungus. The highest gall index was 5 for Negin and Khasib in the application of 6000 J2s and the lowest was for Dastjerdi in the application of 1500 J2s of M. javanica only. However, compared to the others cultivars, the Khasib cultivar showed the highest gall index (3.75) and Dastjerdi cultivar of cucumber showed the lowest gall index (2.25) in a complex interaction (Table 3). The gall index ranged between 2-4.00 when both pathogens were present. The egg mass number in the presence of F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum showed a significant reduction ( $P \le 0.05$ ). Egg masses were observed on all cucumber cultivars infested with M. javanica and their number varied depending on fungal treatments (Table 3). A non-synergistic interaction was observed in the studied parasitic complex, via this parameter, as also recorded for the galling index. Reproduction factor (Rf) ranged between 1.28 - 6.58 in the presence of root-knot nematode only and was the highest when 3000 juvenile of *M. javanica* was inoculated in Khasib cultivar (Table 4). The presence of F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis- cucumerinum, especially simultaneous inoculation with *M. javanica* on Dastjerdi cultivar (6000 J2s) caused the lowest of nematode reproduction factor (Table 3).

Control	Shoot fresh v	fresh weight (g)	Shoot	dry weight (g)	ght (g)	shoc	shoot length (cm)	(cm)	Fresh v	Fresh weight of root (g)	root (g)	Dry we	Dry weight of root (g)	oot (g)
	K	D		z	D	К	z	D	м	z	D	Х	z	۵
	2				$4.01^{a}$	$73.20^{a}$			5.07 <sup>cd</sup>	$4.89^{b}$	$5.26^{\rm cd}$	0.73 <sup>d</sup>	$0.70^{\mathrm{pc}}$	0.77 <sup>de</sup>
Fungus inoculation					3.88	$70.67^{\circ}$			3.95	$3.71^{18}$	5.23 <sup>de</sup>	$0.50^{12}$	$0.44^{m}$	$0.77^{\text{ne}}$
Nematode (1500 juvenile) alone	0			$4.03^{a}$	$3.88^{\circ}$	69.82°			$5.02^{d}$		$5.49^{\infty}$	$0.72^{d}$	$0.67^{d}$	$0.82^{bc}$
Nematode (1500 juvenile) →Fungus	0				$3.78^{d}$	$68.10^{d}$	$51.25^{8}$		$3.94^{\mathrm{fg}}$			$0.48^{gh}$	$0.44^{\rm hi}_{0.44}$	$0.77^{de}$
Nematode (1500 juvenile) + Fungus	-	$1^{cd} 8.60^{h}$			$3.81^{d}$	$71.02^{b}c$	$55.20^{f}$		$3.99^{\text{ef}}$			$0.50^{f}$	$0.48^{\rm ef}$	0.73°
Nematode (3000 juvenile) alone	10.91 <sup>d</sup> 9.94 <sup>f</sup>	_		3.71 <sup>d</sup>	3.54 <sup>1</sup>	65.15 <sup>e</sup>			$5.16^{\mathrm{bc}}$			$0.74^{\circ}$	$0.69^{\circ}$	$0.83^{\rm b}$
Nematode (3000 juvenile) →Fungus	$10.42^{f}$ 8.83 <sup>i</sup>		$3.79^{h}$	$3.50^{f}$	$3.44^{h}$	$61.87^{f}$	49.35 <sup>h</sup>					$0.48^{\rm gh}$	$0.41^{jk}$	$0.75^{de}$
Nematode (3000 juvenile) + Fungus	$10.68^{\circ}$ 9.08 <sup>h</sup>			3.55°	$3.45^{\mathrm{gh}}$	64.25 <sup>°</sup>		÷				$0.46^{1}$	$0.47^{fg}$	$0.76^{dc}$
Nematode (4500 juvenile) alone	50			3.55°	3.57°	$60.10^{g}$			5.25 <sup>b</sup>			$0.77^{b}$	$0.72^{ab}$	$0.86^{ab}$
Nematode (4500 juvenile) →Fungus	$9.65^{hi}$ 7.96 <sup>k</sup>	-		$3.35^{1}$	$3.48^{g}$	$57.50^{hi}$	i 40.27 <sup>k</sup>	$65.92^{f}$	3.84 <sup>gh</sup>	3.59 <sup>gh</sup>		$0.47^{\rm hi}$	$0.42^{ijk}$	$0.68^{f}$
Nematode (4500 juvenile) + Fungus	$9.80^{h}$ $8.15^{j}$			$3.38^{gh}$		$59.62^{2}$	$42.60^{\circ}$		$3.74^{ij}$	3.83 <sup>ef</sup>	$5.29^{\rm cd}$	0.55 <sup>e</sup>	$0.47^{fg}$	$0.78^{d}$
Nematode (6000 juvenile) alone	$10.15^{g}$ 9.23 <sup>g</sup>			3.57°		$57.92^{h}$		$61.15^{3}$	$5.35^{a}$	5.12 <sup>a</sup>	$5.81^{a}$	$0.99^{a}$	$0.74^{a}$	$0.88^{a}$
Nematode (6000 juvenile) →Fungus	$9.50^{j}$ $8.03^{k}$			$3.36^{\rm hi}$	$3.44^{\rm h}$	55.42 <sup>j</sup>		$61.25^{h}$	$3.62^{k}$	$3.48^{\rm h}$	$4.72^{f}$	$0.42^{k}$	$0.40^{k}$	$0.67^{f}$
Nematode (6000 juvenile) + Fungus				$3.40^{g}$	$3.48^{g}$	56.42 <sup>ij</sup>		$64.15^3$	$3.67^{jk}$	$3.77^{\mathrm{f}}$	4.75 <sup>f</sup>	$0.45^{j}$	$0.45^{\mathrm{gh}}$	$0.67^{\rm f}$
Treatments		Wilting index	; index		Wilt	Wilting index	x	'n	Wilting index	lex	-	Wilting index	dex	
	1	10 days after fungus	ter fungus		20 days	20 days after fungus	sngn	30 dá	30 days after fungus	ingus	45 c	45 days after fungus	fungus	
		inoculation	ation		ino	inoculation		.1	inoculation	u		inoculation	on	
	Ŧ		N D		K	N	D	K	N	D	K	N		
Control	1.(	$.00^{a2}$ 1.0		1	$.00^{\circ}$	$1.00^{e}$	$1.00^{\circ}$	$1.00^{d}$	$1.00^{g}$	$1.00^{a}$	$1.00^{\circ}$			
Fungus inoculation	1.			-	°00.	3.00°	$1.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{b}$	$2.00^{d}$			
Nematode (1500 juvenile) alone	1.					$1.00^{\circ}$	$1.00^{\circ}$	$1.00^{d}$	$1.00^{g}$	$1.00^{\circ}$	$1.00^{\circ}$			
Nematode (1500 juvenile) $\rightarrow$ Fungus	1.			, ,		3.00°	$1.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$4.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{b}$	$2.00^{d}$			
Nematode (1500 juvenile) + Fungus	1		_			2.00 <sup>d</sup>	$1.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{a}$	$2.00^{d}$	$3.00^{\circ}$		
Nematode (3000 juvenile) alone	1.		_			$2.00^{d}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{1}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{d}$	$2.00^{1}$	$2.00^{d}$	
Nematode (3000 juvenile) →Fungus	1.					3.00 <sup>°</sup>	$1.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$4.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\rm b}$	$3.00^{\circ}$		$3.00^{\circ}$	
Nematode (3000 juvenile) + Fungus	1.	_	_			$2.00^{d}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.00^{\rm b}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{b}$	$3.00^{\circ}$		$3.0^{\circ}$	
Nematode (4500 juvenile) alone	2.					2.00 <sup>d</sup>	$2.00^{b}$	$3.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{b}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	
Nematode (4500 juvenile) →Fungus						$4.00^{\circ}$	$1.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$5.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	5.0 <sup>b</sup>		
Nematode (4500 juvenile) + Fungus	1.					3.00°	2.00 <sup>°</sup>	$3.00^{\circ}$	$4.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$4.00^{\circ}$		
Nematode (6000 juvenile) alone	2.		-			3.00°	$2.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{a}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$		
Nematode (6000 juvenile) →Fungus	2.	c	-			$5.00^{a}$	$3.00^{a}$	$3.50^{a}$	$6.00^{a}$	$3.00^{a}$	$4.00^{a}$	$6.00^{a}$	$3.50^{\circ}$	
Nematode (6000 juvenile) + Fungus	1.	$.00^{a}$ 1.5	.50° 1.5	$.50^{a}$ 2	2.00 <sup>b</sup>	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{b}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.50^{d}$	$3.00^{a}$	$3.50^{\rm b}$	$3.50^{d}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	

Treatments	G	Gall index	3		Rf		Numbe	Number of egg sac per gram of root	ac per t	Nu	Number of egg per gram of root	per
	K	z	D	Х	z	D	К	z	D	Х	z	D
Control	$0.00^{d2}$	$0.00^{e}$	$0.00^{\circ}$	$0.00^{1}$	$0.00^{k}$	$0.00^{k}$	$0.00^{k_2}$	0.00	$0.00^{1}$	0.00	$0.00^{1}$	$0.00^{1}$
Fungus inoculation	$0.00^{d}$	$0.00^{\circ}$	$0.00^{\circ}$	$0.00^{1}$	$0.00^k$	$0.00^k$	$0.00^k$	0.00	0.00	0.00	$0.00^{1}$	$0.00^{ }$
Nematode (1500 juvenile) alone	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	5.11 <sup>b</sup>	$3.01^{d}$	$2.40^{a}$	4.25 <sup>h</sup>	$3.50^{\circ}$	$1.50^{\circ}$	$1527.20^{i}$	<sup>1</sup> 00.006	$718.50^{e}$
Nematode (1500 juvenile) →Fungus	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.90^{d}$	$2.06^{2}$	$0.68^{\circ}$	$3.75^{i}$	$3.00^k$	$1.00^{\rm h}$	$1486.40^{ij}$	$788.00^{i}$	$260.00^{\circ}$
Nematode (1500 juvenile) + Fungus	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{d}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.50^{f}$	$1.66^{j}$	$0.60^{2}$	$3.50^{j}$	2.75	$1.00^{h}$	$1317.60^{k}$	$626.40^{k}$	$228.00^{k}$
Nematode (3000 juvenile) alone	$4.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$4.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.00^{a}$	$6.58^{a}$	$4.09^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.02^{b}$	$8.25^{\circ}$	$7.25^{f}$	$2.25^{\circ}$	$3825.60^{d}$	$2383.20^{\circ}$	$1178.40^{1}$
Nematode (3000 juvenile) →Fungus	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.76^{de}$	2.83°	$1.03^{\circ}$	$7.75^{f}$	$6.75^{\mathfrak{g}}$	$1.75^{\circ}$	$2901.60^{3}$	$2184.00^{f}$	$799.20^{d}$
Nematode (3000 juvenile) + Fungus	$3.00^{\circ}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	3.228	2.76°	$0.89^{d}$	$7.50^{2}$	5.75 <sup>h</sup>	$1.50^{f}$	$2544.00^{ m h}$	$2181.60^{f}$	$708.00^{f}$
Nematode (4500 juvenile) alone	$5.00^{\circ}$	$4.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.00^{a}$	4.75°	3.59°	$1.80^{\circ}$	19.25 <sup>a</sup>	$10.75^{\circ}$	$3.25^{b}$	$4075.20^{\circ}$	$3081.60^{d}$	1551.20
Nematode (4500 juvenile) →Fungus	$4.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.08^{\rm h}$	$2.22^{1}$	$0.80^{\rm h}$	$12.00^{\circ}$	$8.21^{d}$	$1.77^{d}$	$3614.40^{e}$	$2606.40^{8}$	$943.20^{8}$
Nematode (4500 juvenile) + Fungus	$4.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.66^{1}$	$1.96^{1}$	$0.61^{j}$	$11.50^{d}$	$7.80^{\circ}$	$1.75^{\circ}$	$3204.00^{\mathrm{f}}$	$2368.80^{\mathrm{h}}$	$694.40^{8}$
Nematode (6000 juvenile) alone	$5.00^{a}$	$5.00^{a}$	$3.00^{a}$	$4.14^{d}$	$3.00^{a}$	$1.28^{\rm ef}$	$20.50^{a}$	$19.73^{a}$	$5.16^{a}$	$4651.20^{a}$	$3374.40^{a}$	$1440.00^{\circ}$
Nematode (6000 juvenile) →Fungus	$4.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$4.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.50^{j}$	$1.92^{\rm h}$	$0.69^{i}$	$14.25^{b}$	$13.00^{b}$	$1.78^{d}$	$3147.20^{b}$	$3187.20^{\circ}$	$1147.20^{1}$
Nematode (6000 juvenile) + Fungus	$4.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$4.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.06^{k}$	1.44 <sup>f</sup>	$0.57^{i}$	$12.50^{\circ}$	$10.50^{\circ}$	$1.75^{\circ}$	$3384.00^{\circ}$	$2356.80^{\rm b}$	1008.00

Table 3. Interaction between Meloidogyne Javanica and Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum on gall and RF indices, egg sac and egg indices

#### Wilt index (WI)

The wilting index was scored after 10, 20, 30 and 45 days of inoculation on 1-6 scale (Marley & hilock 1996. A synergism type of interaction was observed in the studied parasitic complex, via this parameter. The highest wilting index as observed on plants inoculated with a mixture of F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum and M. javanica indicated the presence of a synergistic interaction within this parasitic complex. The highest wilting index was 5 for Negin in the applications of 6000 J2s and 4500 J2s (Table 2). In nematode inoculation treatments alone, with the increase of the nematode population level from 1500 to 4500, the wilting index increased by 200%. While with the increase in population from 4500 larvae to 6000 larvae, the wilting index remained constant. The wilting index ranged between 2.00 to 5.00 when both pathogens were present.

## Discussion

The interaction between M. javanica and F. oxysporum. f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum on cucumber was studied for the first time in the present study. The results indicated the effect of individual and/or combined inoculations of both pathogens on plant growth parameters and nematode growth and reproduction indices. Plant height was shown to be negatively affected by the presence of M. javanica and F. oxysporum. f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum as a synergistic effect seemed to occur. In some other fungi and nematode interactions, significant growth reductions, if compared to the control, were recorded (Anjos et al. 2010, Atkinson 1892, Khan & Hosseini-Nejad 1991, Shokoohi et al. 2003). Even only *M. javanica* infestation caused significant reductions in shoot growth parameters when compared with the control treatments. This decrease was probably due to plant physiological disorders. However, when F. oxysporum was inoculated following nematode inoculation, the decline in the growth of the aerial part was greater. In fact, F. oxysporum. f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum isolate was more aggressive when inoculated following the nematode inoculation. The highest wilting index as observed on plants inoculated with a mixture of F. oxysporum f. sp. radiciscucumerinum and M. javanica indicated the presence of a synergistic interaction within this parasit-

ic complex. In fungal inoculation treatments, after the nematode inoculation, the wilting index increased. The reason for this was more the fungus entry and increased wilting caused by it. The highest wilting index was 5 for Negin in the applications of 6000 J2s and 4500 J2s. The reason for the greater rate of wilting was its greater susceptibility to fungus. In nematode inoculation treatments alone, with the increase of the nematode population from 1500 larvae to 4500 larvae, the wilting index increased by 200%. While with the increase in population from 4500 larvae to 6000 larvae, the wilting index remained constant. This confirmed that the nematode population could increase the wilting index to some extent. Also, nematode as a pathogen alone could cause wilting on plants. The nematode predisposed the fungal infection as also observed on banana plants in the presence of M. incognita and F. oxysporum f. sp. cubense where the severity of the banana wilt disease was enhanced in mixed infections (Jonathan & Rajendran 1998). Synergistic interactions were indicated by an additive negative effect on dry and fresh weight of the aerial part with mixed inoculations was recorded in *M. javanica* and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum interaction. Similar results were obtained by Khan and Hosseini-Nejad (1991) on chickpea where M. javanica caused significant reductions in dry weight if compared to uninoculated control plants; however, with the addition of F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceri, the decline was greater (Khan & Hosseini-Nejad 1991). The similar results obtained by some scientists (Fazal et al. 1994, Khan & Akram 2000, Reddy et al. 1979). The present study also showed that the wilt severity was strongly affected by fungal inoculations followed by the application of root-knot nematodes. In fact, F. oxysporum. f. sp. radiciscucumerinum isolate was more aggressive when inoculated following the nematode inoculation. The juvenile stage could make host tissues easier to penetrate by fungal pathogens. Furthermore, vascular pathogens alter the normal translocation of water in the plant by clogging the vessels with fungal structures by the accumulation of metabolic products from the pathogen, increased activity of toxins produced by the pathogen and/or as a result of the production of tyloses of the plant (Khan & Hosseini-Nejad 1991). These symptoms appear and increase disease severity in combined inoculations with fungal pathogens and nematodes gener-

342

ally occurred in synergistic interactions. France and abavi (1994) studied four genotypes of bean and indicated that severe infection of the roots by M. Incognito increased the severity of Fusarium wilt disease (France & above 1994). In addition, wilting severity reported in cotton by the application of *M. incognita* and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. vasinfectum (Martin et al. 1994). Interaction between M. javanica and F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceri increased chlorosis of leaves about 10% to 100% in chickpea (Maheswari et al. 1997). The present study showed that M. javanica development and reproduction depended on the tested fungal treatments. Root galling was also significantly influenced by the interaction of two pathogens on three varieties. In fact, the important gall index was associated with an increased egg mass formation and female fecundity and this generally occurred in interactions in the bipartite complex. The study showed that the synergistic interaction occurred between the two pathogens on cultivars. The synergistic interaction was evident in all parameters except nematode indices. Physiological changes induced on roots infected with the nematode may be the cause of plant sensitivity to the fungus in the presence of nematode. Fungal penetration and colonization of the root system enhanced by the establishment of the nematode may account for reductions in the growth and development of the hosts as well as for differences in the gall index recorded on nematode-infected plants. The present results also showed that for a certain complex, the lowest galling index was associated with reduced egg mass production. Similarly, Daami-Remadi et al. (2009) found that potato infestation by *Meloidogyne* was greatest when the nematode occurred alone, whereas in the presence of F. oxysporum reproduction and root galling was significantly reduced (Daami-Remadi et al., 2009). In conclusion, the present study revealed that the contribution of M. javanica in the Fusarium wilt disease and the consequent reduction of cucumber growth depending on the type of inoculation of the fungus and used nematode density. The nematode development and reproduction were also shown to be strongly affected by the fungus. For better understanding the relationship between M. javanica and isolates of F. oxysporum. f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum, filed study should be done in the future studies as well as histological studies.

### References

- Abawi G. S. and Barker K. R. 1984. Effects of cultivar, soil temperature, and population levels of *Meloido-gyne incognita* on root necrosis and *Fusarium* wilt of tomatoes. Phytopathology 74: 433–438.
- Anjos É. C. T., Cavalcante U. M. T., Gonçalves D. M. C., Pedrosa E. M. R., Santos V. F. and Maia L. C. 2010. Interactions between an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (*Scutellospora heterogama*) and the Root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) on sweet passion fruit (*Passiflora alata*). Brazilian Archives Biology and Technology 53: 801–809.
- Atkinson G. F. 1892. Some diseases of cotton Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 41: 19-29.
- Back M. A., Haydock P. P. J. and Jenkinson P. 2002. Disease complexes involving plant parasitic nematodes and soilborne pathogens. Plant Pathology 51: 683–697.
- Bertrand B., Nunez C. and Sarah J. 2000. Disease complex in coffee involving *Meloidogyne arabicida* and *Fusarium oxysporum*. Plant Pathology 49: 383–388.
- Daami-Remadi M., Sayes S., Horrigue-Raouani N. and Hassine W. H. B. 2009. Effects of Verticillium dahliae Kleb., Fusarium oxysporum Schlecht. f. sp. tuberosi Snyder, Hansen and Meloidogyne javanica (Treub.) Chitwood inoculated individually or in combination on potato growth, wilt severity and nematode development. African Journal of Microbiology Research 3: 595–604.
- Davis R. D., Moore N. Y. and Kochman J. K. 1996. Characterisation of a population of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* causing wilt of cotton in Australia. Crop Pasture Science 47: 1143–1156.
- De R. K., Ali S. S. and Dwivedi R. P. 2001. Effect of interaction between *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lentis* and *Meloidogyne javanica* on lentil. Indian Journal Pulses Research 14: 71–73.
- Eisenback J. D. 1985. Detailed morphology and anatomy of second-stage juveniles, males, and females of the genus *Meloidogyne* (root-knot nematodes), pp. 47-77. In: J. N. Sasser, and C. C. Carter (Eds.). An Advanced Treatise on *Meloidogyne*. North Carolina State University, Raleigh
- Fazal M., Shah N. H., Imran Khan M. and Siddiqui Z. A. 1994. Responses of some tomato cultivars to Rootknot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. Tests of Agrochemicals and Cultivars 1: 126–127.
- Fraji M., kheiri A., Okhovat S. M. and Niknam G. 2007. Interaction Between Root Knot Nematode (*Meloi-dogyne Javanica*) and *Fusarium oxysporum* in Two Cultivars of Bean Under Greenhouse Conditions. Iranian Journal of Agricultural Science 38: 24-32.
- France R. A. and Abawi G. S. 1994. Interaction between *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* on selected bean genotypes. Journal of Nematology 26: 467–474.
- Gheysen G. and Mitchum M. G. 2011. How nematodes manipulate plant development pathways for infection. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 14: 415–421.
- Griffin G. D. and Thyr B. D. 1988. Interaction of *Meloidogyne hapla* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *medicaginis* on alfalfa. Phytopathology 78: 421–425.
- Hussey R. S. and Barker K. R. 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp. including a new technique. Plant Disease Reporter 57: 1025–1028.
- Hussey R. S. and Janssen G. J. W. 2002. Root-knot nematodes: *Meloidogyne* species, pp. 43-70. In: J. L. Starr, R. Cook and J. Bridge (Eds). Plant Resistance to Parasitic nematodes. CAB International, UK.
- Imani S., Moosavi M. R. and Basirinia T. 2014. Interaction of *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Meloidogyne javanica* on green bean. Journal of Plant Protection Research 2: 41–50.
- Jonathan E. I. and Rajendran G. 1998. Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* on banana. Nematologia Mediterranea 26: 9–11.
- Khan M. W. and Hosseini-Nejad S. A. 1991. Interaction of *Meloidogyne javanica* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris* on some chickpea cultivars. Nematologia Mediterranea 19: 61–63.
- Kumar R., Ahmad S. and Saxena S. K. 1988. Disease complex in chickpea involving *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum*. International Nematology Network Newsletter 5(3): 12–14.
- Leslie, J.F., and Summerell, B.A. 2006. The Fusarium laboratory manual. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, Iowa, 388 pp.
- Maheswari T. U., Sharma S. B., Reddy D. D. R. and Haware M. P. 1997. Interaction of Fusarium oxysporum

f. sp. ciceri and Meloidogyne javanica on Cicer arietinum. Journal of Nematology 29: 117.

- Martin S. B., Mueller J. D., Saunders J. A. and Jones W. I. 1994. A survey of South Carolina cotton fields for plant-parasitic nematodes. Plant Disease 78: 717-719.
- Mohamadian-Sarcheshmeh M. and Ahmadi A. 2014. The effect of plant nutritions (N, P, K and Ca) on cucurbit root and crown rot disease caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* in some cucumber cultivars. The 1st International Conference on New Ideas in Agriculture. In: Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on New Ideas in Agriculture. Islamic azad university khorasgan branch, Isfahan, Iran, isfahan p. 658.
- Moosavi S. S., Karegar A. and Deljoo A. 2006. Responses of some common cucumber cultivars in Iran to Root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*, under greenhouse conditions. Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology 42: 37-50
- Mukhtar T., Arshad Hussain M. and Zameer Kayani M. 2013. Biocontrol potential of *Pasteuria penetrans, Pochonia chlamydosporia, Paecilomyces lilacinus and Trichoderma harzianum* against *Meloidogyne incognita* in okra. Phytopathology Mediterranean 52: 66–76.
- Oka Y., Cohen Y. and Spiegel Y. 1999. Local and systemic induced resistance to the Root-knot nematode in tomato by DL-β-amino-n-butyric acid. Phytopathology 89: 1138–1143.
- Porter D. M. and Powell N. T. 1967. Influence of certain *Meloidogyne* species on Fusarium wilt development in flue-cured tobacco. Phytopathology 57: 282–285.
- Reddy P. P., Singh D. B. and Sharma S. R. 1979. Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani* in a root rot disease complex of French bean. Indian Phytopathology 32: 651–652.
- Saeedizadeh A., Kheiri A., Zad J., Etebarian H. R., Bandani A. R. and Nasiri, M. B. 2008. A study of interaction between *Verticillium* wilt *Verticillium dahliae* and Root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* in olive cultivars. Communication agricultural Applied Biology Science 74: 567–572.
- Sahebani N., Zad J., Sharifitehrani A. and Kheiri A. 2008. A Study of Changes in Peroxidase Activity in Interaction Between Root-knot Nematode (*Meloidogyne javanica*) and Tomato *Fusarium* Wilt Agent (*F. Oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersisci*). Tehran University College Agriculture 39: 127–138.
- Schindler A. R., Stewart R. N., Semeniuk P. 1961. A synergistic fusarium-nematode interaction in carnation. Phytopathology 51: 143–146.
- Shahriari D., Molavi E., Aminian H. and Etebarian H. R. 2011. Histopathological response of resistant and susceptible cultivars of cucumber to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum*, the causal agent of fusarium stem and root rot. Seed Plant Improvement Journal 27: 375–391.
- Shepperson, J. R., & Jordan, W. C. 1968. A technique for isolating and maintaining cultures of meloidogyne. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington (Vol. 35, pp. 106-108)
- Shokoohi E., Kheiri A., Etebarian H. R. and Roostaei, A. 2003. Interactions between Root-knot nematode Meloidogyne javanica and Fusarium wilt disease, Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. Melonis in different varieties of melon. Communication Agriculture Applied Biology Science 69: 387–391.
- Taylor A. L. and Sasser J. N. 1978. Biology, identification and control of root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* species). A cooperative publication of the Department of Plant Pathology North Carolina State University and the United States Agency for International Development. North Carolina State University Graphics, USA. 111 p.
- Taylor D. P. and Netscher C. 1974. An improved technique for preparing perineal patterns of *Meloidogyne* spp. Nematologica 20: 268–269.
- Vakalounakis D. J., Doulis A. G. and Klironomou E. 2005. Characterization of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum* attacking melon under natural conditions in Greece. Plant Pathology 54: 339–346.